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ABSTRACT

Leishmaniasis is an infectious disease that is spread by phlebotomine sand flies. These flies cause in-
fec tions called cutaneous and/or visceral leishmaniasis in humans. In this paper, we present a preg-
nant patient who was diagnosed with localized cutaneous leishmaniasis, a localized form of the dis-
eease, and the treatment we applied to the patient. The patient was given systemic amphotericin-B treat-
ment, however the immunosuppressive state of pregnancy and the diabetes of the patient complicated 
the treatment. Although the size of the lesion regressed during pregnancy, we could not completely 
cure the disease.
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Introduction

There are various skin lesions that can be encountered dur-
ing pregnancy. Some of these are specific to pregnancy whereas others are non-specifically seen during pregnancy. Non-specific lesions include infections, malignancy (benign or malign), rashes etc. One of the rare skin infections is cuta-
n eous leishmaniasis that is caused by leishmania spp.

Infections caused by leishmania spp (L. major, L. infantum etc.) are named as visceral, cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (1). Cutaneous leishmaniasis that is frequently caused by L. major, L. tropica and L. mexicana, is a serious 
vector-borne d emal infection. Leishmania parasites are 
spread by the bite of phlebotomine sand flies. There are two 
types: localized and diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis. The type 
of the disease differs among patients depending on the immu-
nity of the host and virulence of the pathogen. Localize cuta-
n eous leishmaniasis (LCL), usually begins with a pink plaque 
on open sites of the body for fly bites and later changes into a 
centrally ulcerated nodules with endured borders. The surface 
of the ulcer is usually covered with a hyperkeratotic scar and 
 thick yellow-white fibrinous material. Lesions can be solitary or multiple. Frequently, lesions are secondarily infected by 
bacteria and this complicates the treatment.

Differential diagnosis for these lesions include bacterial infections, cutaneous myasis, pyoderma gangrenosum, ec-
 thyma, cutaneous malignancies, sarcoidosis, cutaneous tuber-
culosus, various fungal infections etc.

Localize cutaneous leishmaniasis has been reported rarely during pregnancy. The immunosuppressive state of pregnancy 
aggravates the course of the disease and complicate the treat-
ment. In this paper, we aimed to report a pregnant patient with 
the complaint of a persisting ulcerated lesion, whom we later 
diagnosed LCL.

Case Report

31 years old G1P0 patient admitted to Çukurova University School of Medicine antenatal clinic with the com-
 plaint of a skin lesion on her left leg that was persisting for six months. Patient was living in the city of Adana, which is considered as an endemic area for cutaneous leishmaniasis (2). Medical history revealed uncontrolled type II diabetes mellitus. On her left leg there was crusted purplish plaque with erythematous borders (Figure 1). Pathognomonic Leishmania bodies (Figure 2) and staphylococci as a sign of secondary bacterial infection were seen on the smear taken from the lesion. Furthermore with the use of PCR-RFLP assay of the ITS1 genes, Leishmania infantum was identified as the subspecies.
Patient was given mupirocin ointment for the treatment of secondary bacterial infection together with amphotericin-B 1x240 mg IV for seven days. Blood glucose regulation was performed with insulin injections. Despite some regression in the size of the lesion, the lesion could not be completely cured during pregnancy. Patient delivered a healthy boy via cesarean section at 37 weeks of gestation due to breech presentation and premature rupture of the membranes. After the delivery, patient was lost to follow-up. Therefore, we were unable to evaluate the lesion after delivery.

**Discussion**

Pregnancy has an increased tendency for intracellular infections due to decreased Th1/Th2 ratio (3). These infections are caused by bacteria like *M. tuberculosis*, *C. trachomatis* and protozoan like *Leishmania*.

There are various studies in the literature showing the decreased immune response to Leishmania infections during pregnancy (4,5). These studies showed that Leishmania-infected pregnant C57BL/6 mice developed larger lesions, harbored increased parasite burdens and expressed less IFN-γ but increased levels of IL-4, IL-5 and IL-10 as compared to non-pregnant controls. Shifting to type 2 responsiveness in Th1/Th2 ratio complicates the treatment of this infection. Existing co-morbidities like diabetes, immunosuppressive drug use, connective tissue diseases further complicates the infection. During pregnancy, especially together with these states that increase the complication rates, the signs of the infection may be atypical and the diagnosis may be even more difficult (6).

The presentation of the lesions may be well-demarcated ulcers with raised borders or in a cauliflower appearance, which may also raise concern for other diseases, such as other skin infections and malignancies (1). The most important feature that should make clinicians suspicious of LCL, is the area that the patient lives. For patients living in the endemic areas, like tropics, subtropics and southern Europe; LCL should always be kept in mind, in cases of cutaneous lesions.

Although LCL is not linked to a specific fetal anomaly, it is shown to increase the risk of preterm birth, abortion and fetal death in animal studies (3). It is also reported to increase the rate of preterm birth and stillbirth in humans (1). However, in our case, patient delivered a term healthy baby.

The treatment of LCL consists of local, oral or parenteral drugs. These drugs include amphotericin-B, antimony compounds, paromomycin and miltefosine (1). Of these drugs, the safest during pregnancy is amphotericin-B. Others are teratogenic and counter indicated during pregnancy (7,9). In our case, we used parenteral amphotericin-B; however, amphotericin-B was not effective to cure the lesion completely.

Unfortunately, there are not enough studies showing the optimal treatment for LCL during pregnancy, yet. However, taking into consideration from our knowledge from non-pregnant patients and teratogenity of the available drugs, currently, safest treatment approach for these patients during pregnancy seems to be systemic amphotericin-B and/or local treatment modalities.

Local treatment modalities include thermotherapy, cryotherapy, electrocauterization with or without 0.045% pharmaceutical chlorite (DAC N-055) (10,11). These can be used during the follow-up management of residual lesions that are not fully healed after systemic treatment. In addition, these are (DAC N-055 is not studied enough for use during pregnancy) acceptable for use during pregnancy and breast.
feeding. Other local treatment approaches include intrale-
sional pentavalent antimony, topical paromomycin and photo-
dynamic therapy (12-14). However, our patient was lost to fol-
low-up after delivery, so we were unable to apply and evalu-
ate these treatment modalities.

Especially, at endemic sites, this infection, which can be en-
countered during pregnancy, should be kept in mind, and
should not regarded as a simple skin infection. By this way,
early diagnosis may prevent the treatment failure. Immu-
nosuppressive state of pregnancy and comorbidities like diabe-
tes make the treatment even more difficult. Indeed, in our
case, despite adequate parenteral treatment during pregnancy,
we were unable to completely cure the disease.
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